

REMARKS

The Office examined claims 1-28 and rejected same based on non-statutory double patenting in view of Patent No. 6,678,510, and Patent No. 6,925,292 and Patent No. 6,748,202.

With this paper, the claims are unchanged, and a terminal disclaimer is provided, believed sufficient to overcome the grounds for the double-patenting rejections.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the rejections under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting be withdrawn.

It is believed that all of the claims of the application are in condition for allowance and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

Jan. 19, 2006

Date

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS  
& ADOLPHSON LLP  
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224  
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

tel: (203) 261-1234  
Cust. No.: 004955

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Retter  
Registration No. 41,266